## CANADA'S POLITICAL PRISONER

How Canada Uses Children, Destroys Families and Abuses the Criminal Law to Silence Activists

Kevin Mugur Galalae 5 September 2011



To aid the British Government in its vendetta against me and silence my human rights activism while preserving the façade of democracy and deepening its regime of surveillance and secrecy, Canada uses the criminal code and misuses the arms of the State. Without concern for appearances, the authorities in Kingston launched a vicious attack on me as soon as I returned home from Strasbourg, France. The police, Crown and local hospital coordinated their actions to ensure that I am deprived of my children, committed to a psychiatric ward, falsely charged with criminal offenses I did not commit and then forced to plead guilty. Most disturbingly, they used my wife's mental illness and my love for my children to achieve their goals.

## I wrote part of the following account while imprisoned at the Quinte Detention Centre from July 14 to August 9, 2011.

All I wanted is to be allowed back into the course. I would have never dreamed possible that Oxford University, from which I was expelled in June 2009 for allegedly breaching netiquette, is allowing government agents to censor the academic environment and to perform intrusive surveillance on students' political opinions, thoughts, expressions of conscience and personal lives. But that is the ugly reality I uncovered during the year I appealed and counter-appealed my expulsion<sup>1</sup>.

A few months later, I had the same experience at Leicester University, where in September 2009 I had started an MA in International Relations and Global Order. This time I quit of my own volition once it became clear that Leicester spies on and censors its students in the same way as Oxford<sup>2</sup>.

This mirrored experience helped me understand that such behaviour was not isolated to one university and that it must be the result of the UK government's interference in education<sup>3</sup>. I was right. The program of surveillance and censorship I had uncovered is the progeny of CONTEST: *The United Kingdom's Strategy for Countering International Terrorism*<sup>4</sup>, which Britain adopted in 2007 and wasted no time using to undermine the rule of law, human rights and civil liberties under the pretext that terrorism is best stopped by preventing the radicalization of youth and other so-called vulnerable populations.

What I did not know at the time – and only found out in April 2011 while staging a 30-day hunger strike in Strasbourg, France, at the Council of Europe, to compel the Eurocrats to condemn and shut down the spy program in universities before it began implementation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Censorship, Surveillance and Discrimination at Oxford University: Betraying academic freedom for the government's secret agendas, 2 February 2010. Available at:

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{https://wikispooks.com/w/images/d/d2/Censorship\%2C\_Surveillance\_and\_Discrimination\_at\_Oxford\_University.pdf\ . Supporting\ documents\ at:$ 

https://wikispooks.com/wiki/File:Appendices 1-20 for Censorship, Surveillance and Discrimination at Oxford University.zip https://wikispooks.com/wiki/File:Appendices 21-32 for Censorship, Surveillance and Discrimination at Oxford University.zip.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Censorship, Surveillance and Indoctrination at Leicester University: Corrupting young minds, cloning political scientists and manufacturing consent, April 2010. Available at:

https://wikispooks.com/w/images/6/62/Censorship%2C\_Surveillance\_and\_Indoctrination\_at\_Leicester\_University.pdf. Supporting documents at:

https://wikispooks.com/wiki/File:Appendices 1 20 to Censorship, Surveillance and Indoctrination at Leicester University.zip and https://wikispooks.com/wiki/File:Appendices 21-40 to Censorship, Surveillance and Indoctrination at Leicester University.zip.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Covert Censorship at Oxford and Leicester University: CONTEST and State-Sponsored Discrimination, April 2010, available at: <a href="https://wikispooks.com/w/images/0/06/Covert\_Censorship at Oxford\_and\_Leicester\_University.pdf">https://wikispooks.com/w/images/0/06/Covert\_Censorship at Oxford\_and\_Leicester\_University.pdf</a>, also published in the Romanian newspaper "Flacăra lui Adrian Păunescu", April and May 2010 issues.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> http://www.official-documents.gov.uk/document/cm75/7547/7547.pdf

throughout the EU – is that Britain, with the blessing of its allies and close support of the US, had introduced Resolution 1624 in 2005 at the UN Security Council. Resolution 1624 was designed to counter radicalization and was unanimously adopted because it gave a free licence to governments throughout the world to violate their citizens' rights and by-pass constitutional protections and democratic processes to stifle any and all legitimate dissent by simply stating that they are arresting radicals and are thus preventing terrorism. Even more destructive to the rule of law and hard-won rights and liberties was the Resolution's demand that civil society, the press and the courts cooperate in this endeavour by keeping quiet about the policies and programs enabled by Resolution 1624 and by coopting them to turn a blind eye and a deaf ear to the cries for help from those individuals who in one way or another fall victim to the abuses of their governments.

Having silenced the press, the courts and civil society through gag orders, super injunctions and voluntary cooperation enhanced by government funding, western nations gave their secret service agencies a free hand to act without regard for the rule of law, and to every other nation on earth, whether with or without democracy, to abuse their citizens and stifle legitimate dissent unencumbered by criticism from the West, so long as they could make a faint case that their overhanded actions are warranted by the fight against terrorism and radicalization.

What I also did not know at the time is that the last bastion of human rights for individuals violated by their governments, the European Court of Human Rights, had been annihilated by a reform process that began at Interlaken, in 2001, and was completed at Izmir, in 2011, by none other than the UK and the Council of Europe's most abusive member state, Turkey; its ally and partner in crime. This socalled reform process was a proactive measure intended to deny the common man access to justice at the only court, national or international, that had not been



restrained by the global nexus of power composed of the corporate and political interests of the New World Order, for whom secret programs like SAC are part and parcel of the culture of secrecy and manipulation necessary to replace the democratic self-determination of independent nations with a global and autocratic world government.

The last important revelation in this long chain of disturbing discoveries was that the UK had convinced the EU to adopt its counter-terrorism and counter-radicalization strategy through the back door of the Stockholm Programme, which was agreed upon by the EU Member States without the public's knowledge or consent at the end of 2009 and which began implementation throughout the EU in 2010. Part and parcel of this undemocratic agenda was a continental version of the very same covert programme of surveillance and censorship of universities as that conceived and used in Britain since 2007.

How did Britain manage to infect the world with its imperialist habits and to impose its will and methods on the EU? It did this with a triple punch under the belt of democracy. It passed Resolution 1624 at the UN Security Council by using the autocratic and totalitarian instincts of governments devoid of democracy and the rule of law, who jumped at the opportunity to have a free hand in dealing with dissent and opposition. It perverted the Stockholm Agreement and therefore the EU's democratic foundation by playing on the racist undercurrents and potent fear that surge through the continent at this time of economic crisis and finger pointing. And it undermined the powers and jurisdiction of the European Court by using Turkey and other repeat offenders of their citizens' rights and liberties as partners in crime so as to be able to hide its own abuses at home from the scrutiny of an independent and international court.

The question is, why would the UK act in this way and what are its objectives? Is it merely to tame and silence its large Muslim population and prevent further terrorist attacks? Or is it using the conflagration of world events to promote a New World Order that reflects the elitist conceits of the British monarchy and spread its system of hereditary rights and fabulous wealth for the few at the cost of liberal democracy and equality under the law for all?

While the former is how and why it started, the latter is how it evolved. Having purged Britain of extremist and Islamic fundamentalist elements within a couple of years, the autocrats within the British establishment found the temptation to advance their class interests impossible to resist now that it has such unprecedented powers and no oversight in how it uses them.



Enlisting the help of monarchies throughout the Middle East and the support of the global corporate powerbrokers, Britain placed itself at the helm of a new imperialist advance to secure for itself the role of rule maker and referee of the nexus of power that has hijacked and disfigured the New Global Order from one that promised commitment to democracy and the rule of law to one that is fully autocratic and solely intent on monopolizing

increasingly large share of the world's wealth and resources for a select minority of super wealthy individuals.

I exposed their strategy, methods and intentions and showed how they manipulate existing organizations at the European and UN level<sup>5</sup>. I defended the European Court<sup>6</sup>. More specifically, I showed how they use the higher education system to achieve social engineering goals by indoctrinating the current generations of foreign and domestic students to accept inequity and injustice as an unavoidable necessity in the division of the world's masses into have and have-nots, which has become the defining characteristic of Western hegemony under corporate global capitalism<sup>7</sup>.



Despite my best efforts, I have merely stalled the advance of a global system that treats the common man as a beast of burden who must be kept in perpetual ignorance and the world populace as a dispensable commodity, for not a month goes by without our rights and liberties being assaulted by yet another measure or law meant to "protect" us from created terrorists and imagined threats while the fundamental flaws of the socio-economic system imposed on unaddressed 118 remain and

I exposed this in a series of weekly letters addressed to Thomas Hammarberg, the Commissioner for Human Rights, during my 32-day hunger strike at the Council of Europe in Strasbourg, France. The articles are:

Hunger Strike Appeal Letter to Mr. Hammarberg, Council of Europe Commissioner for Human Rights, 19 April 2011, online at: <a href="https://wikispooks.com/w/images/2/2f/Kevin\_Galalae%27s\_hunger\_strike\_appeal\_letter.pdf">https://wikispooks.com/w/images/2/2f/Kevin\_Galalae%27s\_hunger\_strike\_appeal\_letter.pdf</a> and at <a href="https://cryptome.org/0003/kevin-galalae.htm">https://cryptome.org/0003/kevin-galalae.htm</a>.

Message from The Man Outside at 14 Days of Hunger Strike, 25 April 2001, available at: <a href="https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/31/Message\_from\_the\_man\_outside\_after\_14\_days\_of\_hunger\_strike.pdf">https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/31/Message\_from\_the\_man\_outside\_after\_14\_days\_of\_hunger\_strike.pdf</a> and at Cryptome as view only. Google: "Cryptome, Galalae, Freedom in Education 2".

Educating the "Educated": Message From The Man Outside at 21 Days of Hunger Strike, 2 May 2011, available at: <a href="https://wikispooks.com/w/images/f/f8/Educating">https://wikispooks.com/w/images/f/f8/Educating</a> the educated.pdf and at <a href="https://cryptome.org/0003/kevin-galalae3.pdf">https://cryptome.org/0003/kevin-galalae3.pdf</a>.

Is Commissioner Hammarberg Protecting the Emir of Qatar? Is the Council of Europe Subservient to British Interests?, 9 May 2011, available at: <a href="https://wikispooks.com/w/images/2/28/Is\_Commissioner\_Hammarberg\_Protecting\_the\_Emir\_of\_Qatar.pdf">https://wikispooks.com/w/images/2/28/Is\_Commissioner\_Hammarberg\_Protecting\_the\_Emir\_of\_Qatar.pdf</a> and at <a href="http://cryptome.org/0003/kevin-galalae5.pdf">http://cryptome.org/0003/kevin-galalae5.pdf</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The People's Declaration on Restoring the Powers of the European Court of Human Rights, 9 May 2011, available at: <a href="https://wikispooks.com/w/images/e/e0/THE\_PEOPLE%275\_DECLARATION.pdf">https://wikispooks.com/w/images/e/e0/THE\_PEOPLE%275\_DECLARATION.pdf</a> and at <a href="https://cryptome.org/0003/kevin-galalae6.pdf">https://cryptome.org/0003/kevin-galalae6.pdf</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The Great Secret: Surveillance and Censorship in Britain and the EU, 25 October 2010, available at: <a href="https://wikispooks.com/w/images/4/4d/The\_Great\_Secret.pdf">https://wikispooks.com/w/images/4/4d/The\_Great\_Secret.pdf</a> or at <a href="http://cryptome.org/0003/great-secret.pdf">http://cryptome.org/0003/great-secret.pdf</a> and at <a href="http://www.scribd.com/doc/46050686/The-Great-Secret-Surveillance-and-Censorship-in-Britain-and-the-EU">http://www.scribd.com/doc/46050686/The-Great-Secret-Surveillance-and-Censorship-in-Britain-and-the-EU</a>.

increasingly more people fall into poverty and desperation.

My actions in Strasbourg and the six articles I published during the month-long hunger strike at the Council of Europe, garnered too much attention from uncensored websites and I became a threat that could no longer be ignored by the forces of autocracy in the UK and their allies in Canada and elsewhere. In my very last article, a letter addressed to the heads of state of the US, France, Germany and the UK<sup>8</sup>, I placed the blame for the demise of democracy squarely on the shoulders of our leaders and this would have prompted the Canadian authorities to launch their attack on me.

The opportunity to attack me was provided by none other than my wife who has been emotionally and mentally ill for the better part of the last six years and whose condition took a drastic turn for the worse due to the postpartum depression she suffers from since the birth of our second child in June 2010 and to a lesser extent due to the pressure my family has been under for the past year as a result of my principled stand in defence of human rights, the rule of law, democracy, justice and academic freedom.

My wife's aberrations were conveniently accepted as true by the local authorities in Kingston, who have undoubtedly received permission from Ottawa to arrest me and keep me incarcerated at all costs and by any excuse necessary. My wife's rants have been elevated by the Canadian authorities to the status of evidence that I am delusional, that I espouse bizarre ideologies and that I have emptied the family bank accounts to fight the Brits; accusations that seek to discredit me as a person and as a human rights activist and



that have no basis in fact. Although patently untrue, they gave the Canadian authorities the ammunition they needed to arrest me two days after I returned home and found that my children and wife had disappeared from home just hours before I arrived.

Seeking to understand what had happened, I made my way to the in-laws house only to find a policeman stand between me and my children as though I posed a danger to them when in fact I have always been their pillar and main caregiver, since I work from home, and the best of fathers by all accounts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Appeal to Reason: Letter to President Obama, Président Sarkozy, Bundeskanzlerin Merkel and Prime Minister Cameron, 9 May 2011, available at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/5/5e/APPEAL TO REASON.pdf and at http://cryptome.org/0003/kevin-galalae4.pdf.



I asked for five minutes with my children to tell them I came home but was denied access to them three times. Instead of being allowed to see my children I was arrested by the police and forced into a psychiatric ward for a 72-hour "assessment", where I was denied access to the patient advocate and was held without medical justification and despite the fact that the psychiatrist on duty refused to sign the form necessary to confine me. Two-hours prior to

my release from hospital I was arrested and charged with criminal domestic harassment even

though I uttered no threats and merely asked to see my children, which is not only my right but my duty as a father given the circumstances of their abduction. I was then imprisoned for one week before I was released on bail on the third attempt - being initially denied bail and placed under the most stringent bail conditions known to man even though I have no criminal record and never harassed anyone and should have therefore been released of my own recognizance. Not only was I required to live with my surety four hours away from home, I was deprived of my office which is in my home, of all my computers, printer, fax and scanner I need for my daily work, as well as of my laptop which was with me in police custody but was never returned to me. I was also ordered not to come within 500 meters of my wife and children, of my own home and office and of every relative in my wife's family as well as two of my own friends. My passport was taken away from me and



I was ordered not to come to Kingston unless accompanied by my surety, who is a working man and could only accompany me at great financial cost and time loss to him. Most egregiously, the police, on threat of imprisonment, confiscated my email evidence which conclusively shows that my wife is mentally and emotionally ill as well as irrational and which exonerates me from any wrongdoing as well as proving that I have never posed a threat to my family and that the allegations made against me are patently false<sup>9</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> For a detailed account of the events prior to and after my first incarceration please read my article *The Man Inside: Incipient Totalitarianism in the Western World*, 20 June 2011, available at: <a href="https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/34/THE\_MAN\_INSIDE\_INCIPIENT\_TOTALITARIANISM\_IN\_THE\_WESTERN\_WORLD.pdf">https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/34/THE\_MAN\_INSIDE\_INCIPIENT\_TOTALITARIANISM\_IN\_THE\_WESTERN\_WORLD.pdf</a> and <a href="http://www.scribd.com/doc/63469084/The-Man-Inside-Incipient-Totalitarianism-in-the-Western-World">http://www.scribd.com/doc/63469084/The-Man-Inside-Incipient-Totalitarianism-in-the-Western-World</a>.

The malicious way in which I was treated by the Canadian authorities, their violations of due process and the draconian bail conditions they imposed on me make no sense whatsoever given the nature of my alleged offence, the fact that I have no prior criminal record and that the police was well aware that I never posed a threat to anyone. They are also in stark contradiction to the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, which states clearly that a parent must always have access to his children. Last, they are in callous disregard of the fact that I and not my wife have always been the children's main caregiver since I work from home whereas my wife works outside the home, and that my children are lost without their father at home.

While my arrest, incarceration and bail conditions make no sense in terms of my behaviour, alleged offence and personal record as father and citizen, they make perfect sense in terms of the government's intent to stop me from engaging in further human rights activism by destroying me emotionally, financially and psychologically so I no longer have the means or the courage to speak in defence of human rights and civil liberties and to expose further state misdeeds at home and abroad. The confiscation of my passport, furthermore, is tailor made to prevent me from travelling outside Canada or return to France to protest or attend hearings at the European Court of Human Rights. Even more conspicuous and unwarranted is the authorities' refusal to allow me access to any of my computers and office equipment, my external memory devices that store my work database and my human rights work and fiction and non-fiction writings, my reference



books and my photo and video footage, all of which are crucial for my daily work as a writer and for my activism.

Given the preposterous criminal charge against me, my forced and unwarranted confinement in a psychiatric ward, the draconian bail conditions imposed on me, the suppression of exonerating evidence, the direct involvement of the police in keeping me away from my children, the timing of my arrest, the many blatant violations of my rights and the multiple departures from due process committed by the police, Crown and hospital one can only conclude that my persecution is politically motivated and has nothing to do with my actions as a father and a husband, which have always been beyond reproach.

Since my release from my first incarceration, which lasted from May 13 to 24, two psychological reports by two different and well-respected professionals

outside the Kingston area (where public officials have been coopted to cooperate and coordinate their attack on me) have found me to be in perfect mental and emotional health and no threat to anyone<sup>10</sup>.

At the pre-judicial hearing that took place behind closed doors on June 29, the presiding judge, the Honourable Mr. R.G. Masse, found the Crown's case against me to be, I quote, "shitty" and suggested a peace bond, but the Kingston police and the Crown have refused to even ease the bail conditions let alone drop the charges.

I responded to their manoeuver with a counter-offer<sup>11</sup> to their peace bond, which, had I accepted, would have prevented me from suing the police and Crown for malicious prosecution and, more importantly, would have separated me from my children for an indefinite period of time, a

separation I would never accept.

In the two months since my first arrest, I was allowed to see my children only four times for 90 minutes, each but the last time with supervision from the Children's Aid Society. These curtailed, monitored and rare visits have been heartrending and emotionally devastating for me and my children, but no one cares about us since the government's objective is not the protection of my family but my destruction. I was not about to accept my forcible separation from my children for another day let alone another year.

In the counter-offer I listed the many incidents in which the police deliberately stood between me and my children and acted contrary to their profession:



"The evidence is provided by the following: (1) the presence of a police officer in civilian clothes on the night of May 13 at the in-laws' residence to prevent me from seeing my children and stir my anger; (2) the refusal of Constable Slack (badge number 324) and Constable P.C. Williams (badge number 336) to identify their mystery colleague even though I explicitly asked that they do so since their colleague used his police credentials to prevent me from seeing my children; (3) the deliberate one-hour delay of the police to respond to my 911 call of child abduction on the night of Friday, May 13; (4) the refusal of the police to allow me to speak to a senior police officer on the morning of Saturday, May 14, when I went to the police station and offered to show evidence of my wife's mental problems and malicious actions; (5) refusal by the police to allow me to lay child abduction charges against my wife and her parents as well as to assist me in retrieving my car from my wife's possession; (6) the refusal of the police officers who responded to my 911 call on May 14 to allow me 5 minutes with my children; (7) the withholding of a proper and explicit

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> *Dr. Beharry Report*, 20 June 2011 (available at <a href="https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/3a/Dr\_Beharry\_Report\_2011-06-24.pdf">https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/3a/Dr\_Beharry\_Report\_2011-06-24.pdf</a>) and *Bruce Cook Forensic Report*, 14 June 2011 (available at <a href="https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/36/Bruce\_Cook\_forensic\_report\_2011\_06\_14.pdf">https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/36/Bruce\_Cook\_forensic\_report\_2011\_06\_14.pdf</a>).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> My counter-offer to the Crown's offer for a peace bond, 2 July 2011: withheld from publication to protect my wife.

warning that if I returned to the Marshall home for whatever reason a domestic harassment charge would ensue. All of these actions by the police indicate that they worked collaboratively with the Marshall family to drive me over the edge so I would commit an act of desperation. In the absence of such action on my part they fabricated the domestic harassment charge."

I then pointed out that the timing and nature of my arrest indicate that it was politically motivated:

"Just as suspect is the fact that the police have arrested me and separated me from my children just two days after my return to Canada from the European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg, France, where my hunger strike pamphlet read "Hands Off Our Children" (<a href="https://wikispooks.com/w/images/4/4a/Hunger\_strike\_handout.pdf">https://wikispooks.com/w/images/4/4a/Hunger\_strike\_handout.pdf</a>) and where I sacrificed my comfort and security to ensure that our children's views, values and consciences are respected by their governments on the sacrosanct soil of schools and universities. The police's and Crown's attack on me and my children can only be construed as a vindictive and racist act of reprisal against my engagement on behalf of Canada's and the world's children and especially on behalf of the world's minorities and immigrant populations.

If the Kingston Police and Crown are foolish enough to bring my case to trial, they will give me the opportunity to reveal not only the existence of SAC<sup>12</sup> to the world but also the vindictive and illegal actions of the Canadian government on behalf of the British government. The Canadian court system, as well as the court system in Europe, has prevented me by all means necessary from bringing my case to trial against the illegal and immoral surveillance and censorship program run by Western countries. I would therefore welcome the opportunity to finally get to present my evidence on SAC in a court of law. Even if I am convicted of domestic harassment the sacrifice would be more than warranted by the reward of finally getting the facts on SAC onto the public record. The Kingston Police will find however that the people in Ottawa and at CSIS will be more than reluctant to allow Kingston's reckless officials to let the cat out of the bag, so to say. If anyone would like to end their career, then this is the fastest way possible."

"In analysing the conditions of bail imposed on me by the Kingston Police and Crown vis-à-vis my alleged crime of domestic harassment it becomes evident that the police and Crown have ulterior motives, motives that have nothing to do with protecting my family or from the potential of further harassment. Who is directing the police to act this way is, of course, an open question. What is certain is that I am subjected to an unusual and perhaps unprecedented level of discrimination and harassment and that this is unlikely to be just coincidence or negligence.

The timing of my arrest too speaks volumes. Six days after publishing articles asking if Commissioner Hammarberg is working for the Emir of Qatar and is therefore corrupt, if the

9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> SAC is the acronym I gave the covert program of surveillance and censorship of the academic environment in Britain and the EU. For a full description of SAC see pp. 2-5 in "*The Great Secret: Surveillance and Censorship in Britain and the EU*", 25 October 2010, available at: <a href="https://wikispooks.com/w/images/4/4d/The\_Great\_Secret.pdf">https://wikispooks.com/w/images/4/4d/The\_Great\_Secret.pdf</a> and at <a href="https://www.scribd.com/doc/46050686/The-Great-Secret-Surveillance-and-Censorship-in-Britain-and-the-EU">https://www.scribd.com/doc/46050686/The-Great-Secret-Surveillance-and-Censorship-in-Britain-and-the-EU</a>.

Council of Europe is subservient to British interests<sup>13</sup>, and after making the heads of state of the close allies responsible for the erosion of democracy<sup>14</sup>, I was arrested. Who in their right mind will believe that this is a coincidence, especially given the frivolity of the charge and the malicious manner in which I was prosecuted and persecuted? The public will rightfully assume that Kingston's officials have acted on orders from Ottawa and the burden of proof will fall squarely on the Kingston Police and Crown, two universally disliked and mistrusted institutions.

The actions of the Kingston Police and Crown are also suspect because they defy the purpose of my arrest and because they cause far more harm to me and my family than their purported intent to protect my wife and children from my alleged inability to care for them because I "show signs of obsessive delusional behaviour", which is what the Kingston Police disclosure alleges.

It is worth noting that during the past two years I spent c. \$10,000 on my political activism and two trips to Strasbourg, France, to sue the British Government at the European Court and, respectively, to protest at the Council of Europe. By contrast, the false and malicious charges the police laid have cost me and my family more than \$25,000 in just one month and unless dropped will bankrupt us and will cause us to lose our jobs, our house and thus end up penniless in the street.

There is simply no proportion and no logic in the actions of the Kingston Police and the Crown if my only crime is that of domestic harassment. Furthermore, even if convicted, which is highly unlikely given the facts, the most the police and the Crown will achieve is to saddle me with a criminal record, having already served time in jail. While such a conviction will prevent me from travelling to the United States for five years and damage my reputation and future employment prospects, it will not achieve anything else.

It will, however, give me the long-awaited opportunity to tell the world about SAC and about Canada's involvement in this unlawful, discriminatory and unethical programme. I am therefore more than willing to make the necessary sacrifices."

## Finally, I presented them with my offer:

"Having consulted with my human rights lawyer in Toronto I now know that the peace bond was a desperate way by the Kingston Police and Crown to get out of the mess they have landed

<sup>13</sup> "Is Commissioner Hammarberg Protecting the Emir of Qatar? Is the Council of Europe Subservient to British Interests?", 9 May 2011, available at:

https://wikispooks.com/w/images/2/28/Is Commissioner Hammarberg Protecting the Emir of Qatar.pdf and at http://cryptome.org/0003/kevin-galalae5.pdf.

<sup>14</sup> "Appeal to Reason: Letter to President Obama, Président Sarkozy, Bundeskanzlerin Merkel and Prime Minister Cameron", 9 May 2011, available at: <a href="https://wikispooks.com/w/images/5/5e/APPEAL TO REASON.pdf">https://wikispooks.com/w/images/5/5e/APPEAL TO REASON.pdf</a> and at <a href="https://cryptome.org/0003/kevin-galalae4.pdf">https://cryptome.org/0003/kevin-galalae4.pdf</a>.

themselves in without facing liabilities since signing a peace bond prevents me from suing the responsible parties for malicious prosecution and/or negligence. These being the facts I propose the following happy end to this dilemma. I am not a vindictive person and I don't want to see careers and lives destroyed because of incompetence or ignorance. At the same time I will not allow anyone to attack me, my children and my family without making amends for the damage done. I therefore propose the following:

- 1. The Kingston Police and Crown will drop the charges against me immediately and lift any and all conditions imposed on me.
- 2. I will return to my home and children and the Kingston Police and Crown will stay out of my family and out of my way unless they want to face a lawsuit for corruption and malicious prosecution.
- 3. The Kingston Police and Crown will never again interfere in my family's conflicts and will never again pay heed to my wife's and her family's crazy allegations unless they want to be codefendants in a lawsuit for conspiracy to commit fraud, libel and reckless endangerment.
- 4. The insurance company that covers the police will compensate me for legal costs, medical costs, travel costs, incidentals and the costs incurred by my surety and his family the amount of \$25,000.
- 5. The insurance company that covers the police will compensate me for the psychological trauma I was subjected to by the police's illegal and malicious actions, my confinement at the police station and my incarceration at the Quinte Detention Centre the amount of \$25,000.
- 6. These amounts should be considered an act of charity on my part and are non-negotiable.

In return for the above conditions, I will sign papers that I will not sue the Kingston Police or Crown for their various trespasses. I will also withdraw my request for full disclosure of evidence.

The Kingston Police and Crown have until 2 PM Friday, July 8, to accept or reject my offer. Should I not hear from them by the deadline the offer will become null and void and subsequent offers, if any, will entail far larger compensation amounts and different terms. They will certainly include compensation for the loss of my contract with Hong Kong and for declaring bankruptcy, both of which will inevitably follow if the Kingston Police and Crown proceed with the charges.

More importantly, if anything should happen to my children while I am being prevented by the Kingston Police and Crown to be with them, to care for and to protect them, I shall make the authorities fully responsible. To ascertain the level of risk to my children due to my wife's emotional/hormonal/psychological problems I am including the file "Cindy's condition" for the relevant parties' scrutiny. I will also soon have a deposition on behalf of John Chown in the ongoing lawsuit by Tyler Marshall against attorney Chown. Once I make this deposition my children's lives will be at risk from Tyler Marshall who has a notoriously bad temper and who could conceivably abuse or harm my children in order to get back at me. Should that happen while the Kingston Police and Crown prevent me from being with and caring for my children, I will make them fully responsible.

I look forward to a successful resolution. May reason and justice to prevail."

The July 8 deadline came and went without a response from the police other than my re-arrest on July 14. On July 8, however, the Hotel Dieu Hospital's psychiatrists signed their fraudulent and wholly fabricated report. The timing is no coincidence but evidence of collaboration between the police and the hospital. Realizing that I had exposed their illegal actions and as a result the police had opened themselves up to a malicious prosecution lawsuit and the hospital to a false determination lawsuit, they painted me as a delusional and bipolar man to have legal justification for the way they treated me.

Two months late, and in complete contradiction to the two independent psychological reports, the Hotel Dieu Hospital where I was confined against my will and on police orders issued its report. As expected, the hospital's report is a complete fabrication yet in full agreement with the police disclosure that alleges I am delusional. Not only is the hospital's report full of gross errors and blatant lies<sup>15</sup>, it also follows an internal investigation that I requested from the hospital's Director of Public Relations, and which undoubtedly sent the hospital's administration and lawyers into panic mode given the way I was treated 16 and the legal consequences they will inevitably have to face.

On July 11, my wife finally answered my third offer of out-of-court reconciliation, agreeing to it. Elated I wrote back through my lawyer that I am giving my wife free reign to decide the terms and the pace of reconciliation and that my love for her is unchanged by the events of the past two months. The hope that my wife and I would reconcile and my children will not have to grow up in a broken family lifted my spirits.

Since the judicial pre-trial had concluded that the Crown should drop the charges against me and since my wife had finally agreed to reconcile out of court, I saw no reason why communicating with her directly should still be prohibited. So I wrote my wife a love letter every night since the day she decided to reconcile and also asked her help in clearing my name of the charge that I am delusional, which had originated with her out of anger and malice that I dedicated so much time fighting for the dissolution of the surveillance and censorship programme I had uncovered and exposed<sup>17</sup>. Communicating with her directly was also the only way to find out if her intentions to settle out of court were genuine. And I needed to know.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Doctors for Sale: How Canada Uses Psychiatry for Political Purposes, 5 September 2011: available at WikiSpooks and Scribd.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> See pp. 21-30 in *The Man Inside: Incipient Totalitarianism in the Western World*, 20 June 2011, available at: https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/34/THE MAN INSIDE. INCIPIENT TOTALITARIANISM IN THE WESTERN WORLD.pdf and http://www.scribd.com/doc/63469084/The-Man-Inside-Incipient-Totalitarianism-in-the-Western-World.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Once the no-communication order is rescinded, I will publish the love letters I sent my wife, otherwise their publication will be interpreted as indirect communication on my part and I will be rearrested for breaching. Given the behaviour of the Canadian authorities, they will undoubtedly use any excuse they can to throw me back in prison.

My hopes however were shattered for on July 14 I was rearrested at the park where I was scheduled to see my children for the 90 minutes a week that I was entitled to. Instead of sending the children, my wife sent the police. She had turned my love letters to the authorities and so betrayed me for the second time. As I was being handcuffed, the police charged me with theft, extortion and multiple breeches of the no communication order. Theft because in my then unpublished article, *The Man Inside: Incipient Totalitarianism in the Western World*, I had mentioned the emails my wife had sent to various people in order to discredit my mental judgement; extortion, because I asked her to help me clear my name so I wouldn't have to tell the world the truth about her actions and thus damage her reputation; and breeches for having written her love letters.

Heartbroken at my wife's second betrayal and at the injustice done to me by the authorities, I began a hunger strike as soon as I was again imprisoned. Throughout my 7 day hunger strike – from July 14 to July 20 – I was kept in solitary confinement and under 24 hour observation in an 8x8 cell where I was forced to sleep on a concrete slab without pillow or mattress, just two blankets<sup>18</sup>.

Evidence that my second arrest, like my first, was politically motivated came on Friday, July 15, when I appeared in court for the first bail hearing. A few minutes before the hearing I asked my lawyer, David Sinnett, to read a statement on my behalf. The statement said:

"Until such time as I am allowed to go home to my children, I will be on hunger strike. The charges against me are false. I have never posed a threat to my wife or children. My wife is the love of my life and I will give my life at any time for my children. The record shows that I have been the best of fathers and the best of husbands. My arrest and imprisonment are politically motivated and have nothing to do with protecting my family from me, but just the opposite. The government of Canada is using my children, misusing the system, and abusing the law to prevent me from exercising my constitutionally protected rights of free speech and freedom of conscience to stop me from exposing further violations of human rights and civil liberties by the Canadian government and its western allies in the name of countering radicalization. I am a political prisoner."

My lawyer not only refused to read my statement, he even refused to write it down for me so I could read it myself in court. More than this, when I asked the judge in court to make a statement, he immediately looked at my lawyer who said to the judge "I advised him against it." The judge gave a reluctant nod that I should proceed. However, I uttered but three words before he signalled to the police to stop me. As I was being grabbed and dragged out of court by five policemen I managed to say that the charges against me are false and politically motivated, that I

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> A detailed account of my second incarceration and the events leading up to it is forthcoming. The article will be entitled *The "Delusional" Man: Incipient Totalitarianism in the Western World*.

am a political prisoner and that I will be on hunger strike until I am allowed to return home to my children.

The policemen forced me to the ground just outside the courtroom door and still within the judge's view. Even though I was not resisting, they kicked, punched and kneed me on various parts of the body although I was immobilized on the floor with my face to the ground, was shackled and therefore unable to defend myself, and repeatedly said that I am complying and there is no need for brutality. Nevertheless, they continued to brutalize me for nearly a minute, all the while saying "This is what you get for not keeping your mouth shut". They then handcuffed me and threw me in a holding cell in the filthy bowels of the Kingston courthouse.

A further clue of the corruption of the judiciary system came the day before when the constable who arrested me was emailing headquarters on the police computer asking for directions to avoid road construction. After he got the directions he needed he replied "I will give him the long tour of the city since he will not see the outside for a very long time." When I asked him how he knew this and if this is not for a judge to decide he embarrassedly shut down the computer and said nothing. I then knew that the court proceedings to follow were predetermined.

What these two events confirmed to me is that Canada, in British fashion, is using the criminal code to achieve political objectives without having to admit that it has suspended the rule of law and stopped respecting its citizens' rights and liberties. Canada in other words is no longer a democracy and is well on its way to being a totalitarian state.

It gets away with it because the press has been silenced, the courts coopted to act contrary to the law, and human rights organizations are paid and bullied to see only the ills of countries that have not yet embraced the New World Order and accepted Anglo-American leadership and rules.

It gets away with it because a system of global autocracy has been imposed on the world under the cover of darkness and the pretext of fighting terrorism and radicalization.

It gets away with it because the people of the so-called free world are no longer willing to make sacrifices to save their rights and freedoms and would much rather secure their own economic wellbeing even if it comes at the cost of democracy and justice.

Further evidence that my arrest was politically motivated and that the authorities will do anything to keep me in prison surfaced at the bail hearing on July 19. Judge Loraine Watson acted in total contempt of the law and of my rights when she refused to grant me bail because I might write another love letter to my wife and this, in her infinite wisdom, is a threat that she could not tolerate and that warrants my indefinite imprisonment. Although my sureties, Robin and Manuel, respectable citizens and my friends for over 25 years, were prepared to pay \$10,000 if I breached bail conditions, Judge Watson refused to grant me bail despite the fact that I agreed to the onerous and highly suspect condition that I am to be prohibited from using the Internet until the trial day, which also meant the loss of my job as a writer since I must use the Internet

daily in order to do my work. With total disregard for appearances, the Crown and Judge Watson used the love letters I wrote my wife to achieve the Canadian government's primary objective, denying me the right to freedom of expression by preventing me from using the Internet. In the absence of anything threatening in my love letters to my wife, Judge Watson dwelt on three words she kept reading in isolation and out of context, namely "break through walls", which in her skewed interpretation represent a threat. The sentence from which she dislodged my words to make them serve her purpose reads:

I want you back so badly that I am willing to break through walls to get to you, but first I must break through the stone you built around your heart. What are you afraid of? I am your husband and your lover.

Since Judge Watson's decision to deny me bail and keep me in prison has no legal justification and is shamefully unjust, there remain only two explanations as to why she should trample the law and the principle of justice. One, that she is fulfilling her part in the government's agenda that I be imprisoned at any cost in order to silence my activism. Two, that she is defending the incestuous and corrupt relationships inside Kingston's legal establishment by ensuring that I will be forced to plead guilty to the offences I was wrongfully accused of in order to protect the Kingston police, the Hotel Dieu Hospital and the Crown from being sued by me for malicious prosecution and a slew of other offences. Judge Watson knows only too well that by denying me bail I would be in prison until I plead guilty, regardless of my guilt or lack thereof. Rescheduling a second bail hearing takes a lot of money and at least two months and the judge could again deny me bail thus sending me back to prison until the trial date, which could take a year or more, depending on the police's schedule.

My friends, Robin and Manuel, who drove five hours to be in court and who showed their willingness to rearrange their lives to open a place for me in their children-filled home as my sureties, could not help but notice the glaring inconsistencies in Judge Watson's decisions. For while they waited in court for my turn to come, they witnessed how judge Watson granted bail to people with rap sheets longer than their arm while denying me bail, although I have no criminal record and pose no threat to anyone, least of all to my wife and children.

Faced with the prospect of spending a year or more in prison waiting for a trial date, and with losing custody of my children forever, for the longer I am apart from my children the less likely it is that a family court will grant me any kind of custody over my children, I had no choice but to plead guilty.

And here is another twist that shows just how perverse the Kingston legal establishment is. On August 9, I was scheduled to appear in court and plead guilty. As usual, prior to the court appearance, my lawyer came down to talk to me in the interview booth. He informed me that the Crown will agree to a sentence of 60 days (of which by that time I had already served 34 days) if I plead guilty to the lesser charge of recklessness instead of harassment, which was my initial

charge, and to two breaches of the no communication order, disturbing the peace and theft of my wife's emails. Although the only offence I had committed was breaching the no communication order, I had no choice but to agree to the Crown's terms.

Despite the agreement, when the court clerk began reading the offences to which I was to plead guilty there was no mention of recklessness but of harassment. I paused before saying guilty and asked my lawyer, David Sinnett, what is going on. He said, "It's OK. Just say guilty." Reluctantly and with a bitter taste of disgust in my mouth, I did. It was either that or never see my kids again.

The presiding judge, Mrs. Judith Beaman, released me on time served. However, to ensure that the government's campaign to discredit my mental competence and by extension the validity of my publications continues unabated, the two psychological reports that found me to be perfectly healthy were ignored and the condition that I am to "actively participate in such assessment, treatment and counselling programmes as directed by your Probation Officer, including but not limited to mental health" was tacked on to my Probation Order. To ensure that I am kept on a short leash, I was given a two-year probation period, which is conspicuously long. Last but not least, to ensure that I live in perpetual fear of being rearrested, I was given a 10-year prohibition from possessing any firearm, cross-bow, restricted weapon, ammunition and explosive substance. This prohibition makes no sense whatsoever considering that I was convicted – wrongfully at that – of non-violent offences and that weapons of any kind had nothing to do with my alleged offenses. It is purely meant to ensure that the police can search my person, house and car any time they wish, which is the government's way of saying that my freedom is now under their control and that they can take it away anytime by simply planting a kitchen knife in my car's trunk. We have already seen that the police and justice system can and will be abused to achieve any objective the government wants.

A few days after my release, I asked my lawyer why the agreement with the Crown that I plead guilty to recklessness rather than harassment was not honoured.

From: kgalalae@hotmail.com To: dave@hodgsonsinnett.com

Subject: RE:

Date: Sat, 13 Aug 2011 06:34:11 +0200

Hi Dave,

I just read the Prohibition Order Imposed at Sentencing and it says that I have been convicted for harassment. That is not what we discussed at the court house where you said the Crown lowered the harassment charge to recklessness and that the deal you made with the Crown is that I would have to plead guilty to recklessness. Can you please explain what is going on?

Kevin

Date: Mon, 15 Aug 2011 14:34:03 -0500

Subject: RE:

From: dave@hodgsonsinnett.com To: kgalalae@hotmail.com

Kevin,

The charge was the same but the explanation was provided showing that you did not know that she was harassed but were reckless to that issue. The Judge agreed with that explanation and that allowed us to keep the sentence down to the lower end and have you released on time served. It would be an aggravating factor if you clearly understood that you were harassing her and continued to do so.

I was glad that we were able to get you released that day...

Thanks again,

Dave

That is how the Government of Canada, undoubtedly acting on Britain's request, turned my knocking on the in-laws' door to try to see my children after they were abducted, and my love letters to my wife after she agreed to reconcile, into 34 days of prison time, a criminal record for me that contains five offences, the loss of my children, the loss of my job, financial ruin for me and my wife, the loss of my home and belongings, the loss of my writings and manuscripts, and the loss of even the clothes on my back.

Of course the press, civil society, and human rights organizations continue to remain silent. Canada is now a totalitarian state and the only way we can bring it back to democracy is if Canadians wake up to the reality and struggle to regain their rights and freedoms, which now exist only on paper.

The fact that the Canadian Government has chosen legal means to achieve political ends is no coincidence but the fingerprint of the British State which has infected Canada and indeed the world's democracies with its imperialist methods and conceits.

Sooner or later we will all pay a heavy price to unchain ourselves from their yoke, for they have corrupted and perverted every institution and process in our country.